# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/507,434 08/24/2005		Bjorn H Lindqvist	ZNA-PT007	6406
3624 7590 12/19/2006 VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600			EXAMINER	
			WESSENDORF, TERESA D	
30 SOUTH 177 PHILADELPH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1639	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D	DAYS .	12/19/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/507,434	LINDQVIST, BJORN H		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	·	T. D. Wessendorf	1639		
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. We will be specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a  cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	·		•		
2a) ☐ 1 3) ☐ 5	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositio	n of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.  a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or expression and the specification is objected to by the Examiner	lection requirement.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	applicant may not request that any objection to the o				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s	· •)				
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
3) 🔲 Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa			

## Page 2

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-4, 6-11, drawn to a display virus complex comprising nucleic acid and the encoded peptide.

Group II, claim(s) 1 and 5, drawn to a display virus wherein the viral display libraries of proteins are the source of the nucleic acid complex.

Group III, claim(s) 12-18, 20-21 and 23-24, drawn to a method of preparing covalently linked naked nucleic acid-protein display complexes.

Group IV, claim(s) 12 and 19, drawn to a method of preparing covalently linked naked nucleic acid-protein display complexes with a library as the source.

Group V, claim(s) 12 and 22, drawn to a method of preparing covalently linked naked nucleic acid-protein display complexes in array format.

Group VI, claim(s) 25, drawn to a method of use.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,434

Art Unit: 1639

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: there is no corresponding special technical features for a virus complex as the display virus need not used a library as a source or may not be in an array format.

Page 3

The inventions listed as Groups I-II and Groups III-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: there is no corresponding special technical features for a virus complex to a method of preparing the virus since as the method of making recites different steps by which the display can be made. For example, a method of making using a library as the source. The viral complex can be made by other biological recombinant method. The virus may not necessarily be arranged in an array format but may be only attached to a different substrates or in a library form.

The inventions listed as Groups III-V and VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: there is no corresponding special technical features between the two different methods. The product produced by the method of e.g., group III can be used in different processes of use as the different recited used of claim 25 e.g., for protein identification or in diagnosis.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The different uses as recited in claim 25:

## 1. Genomics

Application/Control Number: 10/507,434

Art Unit: 1639

Page 4

2. Proteomics

- 3. Protein identification for therapeutic drugs
- 4. Protein identification for diagnosis

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEF § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

25

The following claim(s) are generic: 25.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each of the

Application/Control Number: 10/507,434

Art Unit: 1639

species differ in functions as the basic structures of the compounds or steps are different. For example for genomics, genes are being employed as opposed to amino acids in proteins.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1639

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. D. Wessendorf whose telephone number is (571) 272-0812. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexitime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Schultz can be reached on (571) 272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1639

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

T. D. Wessendorf Primary Examiner Art Unit 1639

Tdw December 11, 2006